27

28

1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	RICHARD ANDERSON,
7	Petitioner, No. C 14-0458 EDL (PR)
8	v. ORDER OF TRANSFER
9	STU SHERMAN,
10	Respondent.
11	
12	This is a habeas case filed pro se by a state prisoner. Petitioner seeks to challenge
13	a conviction obtained in the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. People v. Anderson,
14	2013 WL 3814971 (Cal. App. 2 Dist., 2013). Los Angeles County is in the venue of the
15	United States District Court for the Central District of California. Petitioner is incarcerated
16	at the California State Prison at Corcoran, which is in the Eastern District.
17	Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the distric
18	of conviction, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); this district is neither. Because petitions challenging a
19	conviction are preferably heard in the district of conviction, Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); Laue v.
20	Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968), and petitioner was convicted in Los
21	Angeles County, this case is TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the
22	Central District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b).
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	Dated: February 19, 2014.
25	United States Chief Magistrate Judge
26	

G:\PRO-SE\EDL\HC.14\Anderson0458.trn.wpd